## $P_3N_3F_5NPF_2NPF_2NPF_5^{2-}$ : a Cyclophosphazene with a Phosphazene Side-chain Dianion by F<sup>-</sup>-induced Ring-opening of $P_3N_3F_6$

## Enno Lork, Paul G. Watson and Rüdiger Mews\*

Institut für Anorganische und Physikalische Chemie, Universität Bremen, NW2, Postfach 33 04 40, Loebener Straße, D-28359 Bremen, Germany

 $[(Me_2N)_3S+]_2$   $[P_3N_3F_5NPF_2NPF_2NPF_5]^{2-}$  is prepared from the reaction of  $(Me_2N)_3S+Me_3SiF_2^-$  and  $P_3N_3F_6$  and its X-ray crystal structure determined.

Recently, we reported the addition of fluoride ion to sulfanuric fluoride  $[NS(O)F]_3$  by  $(Me_2N)_3S^+Me_3SiF_2^-$ , (TASF), to give TAS<sup>+</sup>  $[(NS(O)F)_2(NS(O)F_2)]^{-.1}$  Reactions of the isoelectronic cyclophosphazene  $(NPF_2)_3$  with fluoride ion are described in the literature: with CsF opening of the ring system probably occurs, leading to formation of Cs<sup>+</sup>NPF\_2NPF\_2NPF\_3<sup>-.2</sup> With NaF similar results were obtained, but subsequent degradation of the primary product was observed.<sup>3</sup> Characterization of the product was based mainly on IR spectroscopy and from the complexity of the (unassigned) <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectrum of the primary product the authors suggested the above-mentioned acyclic structure of the anion.<sup>2</sup>

Different results are obtained when fluoride ion is added to  $(NPF_2)_{3,4}$  **1** by TASF,<sup>5</sup> **2** in homogeneous solution.

The reaction between  $P_3N_3F_6$  and TASF occurs at 235 K in MeCN to give TAS<sup>+</sup>  $P_3N_3F_7^-$  3 (Scheme 1). This species was identified by <sup>31</sup>P and <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectroscopy.<sup>†</sup> The <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum at 235 K shows a well-resolved octet ( $J_{PF} = 258$  Hz) at  $\delta - 5$  and the <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectrum a corresponding quartet at  $\delta - 46$ . This coupling is significantly smaller than <sup>1</sup> $J_{PF}$  in 1 (868 Hz) and is due to an averaging of <sup>1</sup> $J_{PF}$  and <sup>3</sup> $J_{PF}$ . Only one resonance for 3 in both the <sup>31</sup>P and <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra is observed indicating that the anion is undergoing exchange and since we observe P–F couplings this must be an intramolecular exchange process.

At this temperature the  ${}^{31}P$  and  ${}^{19}F$  NMR spectra show signals corresponding to the dianion  $P_3N_3F_5NPF_2NPF_2NPF_5^{2-4}$  and on slight warming the signals due to **3** disappear and only signals due to **4** are observed.

Apart from the clear resonance of the NPF<sub>5</sub> group at  $\delta$  -137, the <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum of **4** is difficult to assign as the ring and chain phosphorus resonances all occur in the region  $\delta$  +40 to  $\delta$  -70 as broad, unresolved multiplets.

The <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectrum of  $\hat{4}$  is easier to assign, with the expected 6 F resonances clearly observed. An AB<sub>4</sub>X system is seen for the NPF<sub>5</sub> group at  $\delta_{Feq}$  -43.8 and  $\delta_{Fax}$  -53.5. The four equivalent ring-fluorine atoms, F<sub>5</sub> are observed as a complicated multiplet at  $\delta$  -68.8, F<sub>4</sub> the fifth ring-fluorine is assigned from the resonance intensity at  $\delta$  -47.2. Unfortunately, although both NPF<sub>2</sub> groups could be identified,  $\delta$  -61.5 and  $\delta$  -63.5, it was not possible to distinguish which is due to F<sub>2</sub> and which to F<sub>3</sub>.



4 was isolated quantitatively as a stable, colourless solid (mp 64 °C) and single crystals were grown by diffusion of diethyl ether into a MeCN solution. The X-ray structure‡ of the anion is presented in Fig. 1. The average P–N bond length to the phosphorus centres in the phosphazene side-chain is approximately 154 pm, the P(1)–N(1) distance to the hexacoordinated phosphorus is significantly longer [169.4(9) pm]. Contrary to planar cationic oligochlorophosphazenes<sup>6</sup> and polyfluorophosphazenes (NPF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub><sup>7</sup> the phosphazene side chain in **4** is puckered. P(1), P(2) and P(3) deviate from the N(1)–N(2)–N(3) plane by +19, +44 and +40 pm, respectively.

Substitution of fluorine atoms in  $P_3N_3F_6$  by amino groups is reported to increase the average endocyclic P–N bond distances,<sup>8,9</sup> similar results are obtained for the  $P_3N_3F_5$ -fragment in 4. More pronounced than for  $P_3N_3F_5NH_2$ ,<sup>8</sup> and 2,2- $P_3N_3F_3(NH_2)_2$ <sup>9</sup> the P–N bond lengths adjacent and opposite to the substituted phosphorus centre increase compared to  $P_3N_3F_6$  (P–N = 152.1 pm).<sup>10</sup> The bonding situation in the  $P_3N_3F_5$ -fragment of 4 might be described as in Fig. 2, with a high negative charge at N(5).

Cyclophosphazenes are starting materials for polyphosphazenes,<sup>11</sup> ring-opening is observed at high temperatures and is catalysed by Lewis acids.<sup>12</sup> The anion in **4** might be considered as the first step in a base induced polymer formation from cyclic precursors.



Fig. 1 The molecular structure of  $P_3N_3F_5NPF_2NPF_2NPF_5^{2-}$ . P–N bond lengths (pm) are: P(1)–N(1) 169.4(9), N(1)–P(2) 152.4(8), P(2)–N(2) 154.1(9), N(2)–P(3) 156.8(10), P(3)–N(3) 152.0(11), N(3)–P(4) 155.1(10), P(4)–N(4) 161.0(9), N(4)–P(5) 152.9(10), P(5)–N(5) 161.5(10), N(5)–P(6) 156.3(10), P(6)–N(6) 153.4(10), N(6)–N(4) 164.1(11). Approximate P–F bond lengths are: P(1)–F 164.1, P(2)P(3)–F 155.1, P(4)–F 158.2(9), P(5)–P(6)–F 156.1.



1718

Neutral cyclophosphazenes with phosphazene side-chains are known P<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub>F<sub>5</sub>NPF<sub>2</sub>(NPCl<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>Cl, e.g. is formed in a multistep synthesis from P<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub>F<sub>6</sub>, (Me<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>NH, PF<sub>3</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, and PCl<sub>5</sub>, respectively.<sup>13</sup>

We are grateful to the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for Financial Support. We thank Professor U. Behrens (Hamburg) for helpful discussions.

Received, 12th May 1995; Com. 5/03019F

## Footnotes

 $\dagger$  NMR data [ref. 85%  $\rm H_3PO_4$  (for  $^{31}P)$  and CCl\_3F (for  $^{19}F)$ ],  $^{31}P$  and  $^{19}F$ 1 NMAR data [ref. 85% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (107 <sup>34</sup>P) and CCl<sub>3</sub>F (for <sup>19</sup>F)]. <sup>31</sup>P and <sup>19</sup>F NMR data for 3:  $\delta_{P} - 5$ ,  $\delta_{F} - 48$ ,  $J_{PF} 258$  Hz. <sup>31</sup>P and <sup>19</sup>F NMR data for 4:  $\delta_{P_1} - 137$ ,  $\delta_{Feq} - 43.8$ ,  $\delta_{Fax} - 53.5$ ,  $\delta_{F2,F3} - 61.5$  and -63.5,  $\delta_{F4} - 47.2$ ,  $\delta_{F5} - 68.8$  <sup>1</sup> $J_{P1Feq}$  714, <sup>1</sup> $J_{P1Fax} 660$ , <sup>1</sup> $J_{P1Feq}$  714, <sup>1</sup> $J_{P2,3F2,3}$  939,  $\approx$  900, <sup>1</sup> $J_{P4F4}$  ca. 800 <sup>1</sup> $J_{P5F5}$  (Higher order resonance, unable to be assigned), <sup>2</sup> $J_{FeqFax}$  36, <sup>3</sup> $J_{P2Feq}$  29, <sup>4</sup> $J_{FeqF2}$  4 Hz. ‡ Crystal data: C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>36</sub>F<sub>14</sub>N<sub>12</sub>P<sub>6</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, crystal dimensions 0.80 × 0.60 × 0.05 mm, M = 864.47, T = 173 K, triclinic, a = 859.8(2), b = 1005.0(2), c = 2196.4(4) pm,  $\alpha = 91.76(3)^{\circ}$  B = 96.42(3)<sup>o</sup> y = 109.77(3)^{\circ} U =

2196.4(4) pm,  $\alpha = 91.76(3)^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 96.42(3)^{\circ}$ ,  $\gamma = 109.77(3)^{\circ}$ , U = 1770.1(6) Å<sup>3</sup>, space group *P*I, Z = 2,  $D_c = 1.622$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>, Mo-K $\alpha$ radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å,  $\mu$ (Mo-K $\alpha$ ) = 5.24 cm<sup>-1</sup>, F(000) = 880. R = 0.0747, w $R_2 = 0.1652$  for 4610 independent diffractometer reflections out of 5070 measured ( $0 \le h \le 9, -11 \le k \le 10, -24 \le l \le 24, 2.54 \le \theta \le 10$ 22.5°). Atom coordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See Information for Authors, Issue No. 1.

## References

- 1 E. Lork and R. Mews, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1995, 113.
- 2 W. M. Douglas, M. Cooke, M. Lustig and J. K. Ruff, Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett., 1970, 6, 409.
- 3 E. Niecke, Dissertation, Göttingen, 1969.
- 4 R. Schmutzler, Inorg. Synth., 1967, 9, 76.
- 5 W. J. Middleton, US Pat. 3940402, 1976, Org. Synth., 1985, 64, 221.
- 6 H. R. Allcock, N. M. Tollefson, R. A. Arcus and R. R. Whittle, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 5166.
- 7 H. R. Allcock, R. L. Kugl and E. G. Stroh, Inorg. Chem., 1972, 11, 1120.
- 8 S. Pohl and B. Krebs, Chem. Ber., 1975, 108, 2934.
- 9 S. Pohl and B. Krebs, Chem. Ber., 1976, 109, 2622.
  10 M. W. Dugill, J. Chem. Soc., 1963, 3211.
- 11 H. R. Allcock, Acc. Chem. Res., 1979, 12, 351.
- 12 C. W. Allen, Coord. Chem. Rev., 1994, 130, 137 and references therein.
- 13 H. W. Roesky and W. Grosse-Böwing, Chem. Ber., 1971, 104, 653.